



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/740,487	12/22/2003 Michael Fischer		2001P11472WOUS	2073
28204	7590 07/05/2006		EXAMINER	
SIEMENS SCHWEIZ I-44, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ALBISRIEDERSTRASSE 245 ZURICH, CH-8047			PHUONG, DAI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
SWITZERLA	AND		DATE MAILED: 07/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/740,487	FISCHER, MICHAEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dai A. Phuong	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	· .				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ap	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2006.				
<i>;</i>	,—				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
are subject to restriction and/o	relection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)▼ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	;d .			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Notice of Diatisperson's Patent Diawing Review (170-340) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 04/20/2006, with respect to claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1-20 are currently pending.

Drawings

2. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). Applicant is reminding the discloser must also contain a brief description of the drawing. No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-12 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GasPard, II (U.S. 6240362).

Regarding claim 1, GasPard discloses a system for providing travel information on a mobile communication device (fig. 1, col. 4, lines 38-50 and col. 6, lines 9-11), comprising:

a) means for entering a destination in the communication device (fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 6, lines 39-45);

b) means for transmitting the entered destination to a control computer (fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 7, line 20 to col. 8, line 41);

- c) means for assigning a current location to the communication device by the control computer (fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 7, line 20 to col. 8, line 41);
- d) communication means between the control computer and route control facilities for public transport station (fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 7, line 20 to col. 8, line 41);
- e) means for accessing the current locations of public transport, the locations being available from the control facilities via the control computer (fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 7, line 20 to col. 8, line 41);
- f) means for generating a location dependent public transport timetable (fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 7, line 20 to col. 8, line 41);
- g) means for transferring the timetable to the mobile communication device (fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 7, line 20 to col. 8, line 41); and
- h) means for displaying the timetable at the mobile communication device (fig. 1 and fig. 2, col. 7, line 20 to col. 8, line 41).

Regarding claim 2, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 1. Further, GasPard discloses the system wherein the mobile communication device further comprises a location detection module and means for transmitting information regarding the current location to the control computer (col. 6, lines 28-37).

Regarding claim 3, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 1. Further, GasPard discloses the system further comprising means for assigning to the mobile communication device

Application/Control Number: 10/740,487

Art Unit: 2617

at the device's current location the location of a send/receive unit with which the mobile communication device is currently communicating (col. 7, line 52 to col. 8, lines 25).

Regarding claim 4, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 1. Further, GasPard discloses the system further comprising means for repeatedly generating the timetable and means for receiving an updated timetable at the mobile communication device (col. 7, line 52 to col. 8, lines 25).

Regarding claim 5, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 4. Further, GasPard discloses the system wherein the updated timetable is only transmitted up to a specifiable time before reaching the transfer point (col. 7, line 52 to col. 8, lines 25).

Regarding claim 6, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 1. Further, GasPard discloses the system further comprising means for evaluating an arrival time of the mobile communication device at a transfer point when the mobile device is enroute via the public transport (col. 7, line 52 to col. 8, lines 25).

Regarding claim 7, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1
Regarding claim 8, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2
Regarding claim 9, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 3
Regarding claim 10, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 4

Regarding claim 11, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 16. Further GasPard discloses the method wherein an updated timetable is only transmitted up to a predetermined time before the mobile communication device reaches a transfer point and suppressing the timetable if it is available after the predetermined time (col. 7, line 52 to col. 8, lines 25).

Regarding claim 12, claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/740,487 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 15, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 1. Further, GasPard discloses the system wherein the means for displaying the timetable further comprises means for visually displaying the timetable (col. 8, lines 19-41).

Regarding claim 16, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 1. Further, GasPard discloses the system wherein the means for displaying the timetable further comprises means for audibly displaying the timetable (col. 8, lines 19-41).

Regarding claim 17, Cox et al. disclose all the limitation in claim 7. Further, GasPard discloses the method further comprising the step of displaying the timetable via the mobile communication device (col. 8, lines 19-41).

Regarding claim 18, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 17. Further, GasPard discloses the method wherein the step of displaying the timetable further comprises the step of visually displaying the timetable (col. 8, lines 19-41).

Regarding claim 19, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 17. Further, GasPard discloses the method wherein the step of displaying the timetable further comprises the step of audibly displaying the timetable (col. 8, lines 19-41).

Regarding claim 20, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 10. Further, GasPard discloses the system further comprising the step of determining whether an updated timetable is needed (col. 7, line 52 to col. 8, lines 25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GasPard, II

(U.S. 6240362) in view of Schmier et al. (U.S. 6006159).

Regarding claim 13, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 7. However, GasPard

do not disclose the method wherein after issuing the individual timetable a seat reservation with

confirmation can be made using the mobile communication device for the planned means of

public transport.

In the same field of endeavor, Schmier et al. disclose the method wherein after issuing

the individual timetable a seat reservation with confirmation can be made using the mobile

communication device for the planned means of public transport (col. 13, lines 12 to col. 14, line

18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the wireless telephone user of GasPard by specifically including

after issuing the individual timetable a seat reservation with confirmation can be made using the

mobile communication device for the planned means of public transport, as taught by Schmier et

al., the motivation being in order to notify a passenger waiting for a public transit vehicle of the

status of the vehicles.

Regarding claim 14, GasPard discloses all the limitation in claim 7. However, GasPard

do not disclose the method wherein a reservation profile is stored on the control computer.

Application/Control Number: 10/740,487 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

In the same field of endeavor, Schmier et al. disclose the method wherein a reservation

profile is stored on the control computer (col. 13, lines 12 to col. 14, line 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the wireless telephone user of GasPard by specifically including

disclose the method wherein a reservation profile is stored on the control computer, as taught by

Schmier et al., the motivation being in order to notify a passenger waiting for a public transit

vehicle of the status of the vehicles.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dai A Phuong whose telephone number is 571-272-7896. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nguyen M Duc can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-7503.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dai Phuong AU: 2617

Date: 06-20-2006

LISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO PRIMARY EXAMINER